

# Scrutiny Board

## 8 November 2016

**Time** 6.00 pm **Public Meeting?** YES **Type of meeting** Scrutiny  
**Venue** Committee Room 3 - 3rd Floor - Civic Centre

### Membership

**Chair** Cllr Stephen Simkins (Lab)  
**Vice-chair** Cllr Patricia Patten (Con)

#### Labour

Cllr Ian Angus  
Cllr Paula Brookfield  
Cllr Peter O'Neill  
Cllr Rita Potter  
Cllr Jacqueline Sweetman  
Cllr Philip Bateman  
Cllr Greg Brackenridge  
Cllr Jasbir Jaspal  
Cllr Rupinderjit Kaur  
Cllr Louise Miles

#### Conservative

Cllr Arun Photay

Quorum for this meeting is four Councillors.

### Information for the Public

If you have any queries about this meeting, please contact the democratic support team:

**Contact** Julia Cleary  
**Tel/Email** 01902 555046 or [julia.cleary@wolverhampton.gov.uk](mailto:julia.cleary@wolverhampton.gov.uk)  
**Address** Democratic Support, Civic Centre, 1<sup>st</sup> floor, St Peter's Square,  
Wolverhampton WV1 1RL

Copies of other agendas and reports are available from:

**Website** <http://wolverhampton.moderngov.co.uk/>  
**Email** [democratic.support@wolverhampton.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.support@wolverhampton.gov.uk)  
**Tel** 01902 555043

Please take note of the protocol for filming, recording, and use of social media in meetings, copies of which are displayed in the meeting room.

Some items are discussed in private because of their confidential or commercial nature. These reports are not available to the public.

# Agenda

## Part 1 – items open to the press and public

*Item No.*    *Title*

### MEETING BUSINESS ITEMS

- 1            **Apologies for absence**
- 2            **Declarations of interest**
- 3            **Minutes of the previous meeting (13 September 2016)** (Pages 3 - 8)  
[To approve the minutes of the previous meeting as a correct record.]
- 4            **Matters arising**

### DISCUSSION ITEMS

- 5            **The Future Direction of Scrutiny** (Pages 9 - 14)  
[To consider recommendations in relation to the future of the scrutiny function]
- 6            **Scrutiny Reviews**  
[To receive a verbal update from the Scrutiny and Systems Manager]
- 7            **Work Programme** (Pages 15 - 20)  
[To consider the Board's work programme for future meetings.]

### PRE DECISION SCRUTINY ITEMS

- 8            **Post 16 Update**  
[To consider a cabinet report in relation to post-16 priorities for the City of Wolverhampton and work being undertaken to meet future employment and skills needs of post-16 learners in the City – **the report will be sent to follow**].

### Attendance

#### Members of the Scrutiny Board

Cllr Ian Angus  
Cllr Philip Bateman  
Cllr Paula Brookfield  
Cllr Peter O'Neill  
Cllr Rita Potter  
Cllr Stephen Simkins (Chair)  
Cllr Greg Brackenridge  
Cllr Jasbir Jaspal  
Cllr Rupinderjit Kaur  
Cllr Louise Miles  
Cllr Julie Hodgkiss

#### Employees

Mark Taylor	Director of Finance
Earl Piggott-Smith	Scrutiny Officer
Helena Kucharczyk	Business Intelligence Manager
Steve Rice	Customer Engagement Manager
Shaun Walker	Section Leader
Anna Zollino-Biscotti	Senior Information Governance Officer
Sarah Campbell	Complaints Manager

---

## Part 1 – items open to the press and public

*Item No.*    *Title*

- 1        **Apologies for absence**  
The Chair referred to the recent death of Wolverhampton North East MP, Ken Purchase and former Wolverhampton Councillor. The Chair also referred to the death of the former Mayor of Wolverhampton, Gwen Stafford Good.

The Board stood for a minute silence in their memory.

The Board agreed to formally thank Deborah Breedon for her work in Scrutiny Team and in supporting the work of different panels. The Board wanted to wish her good luck in her new post.

Apologies for absence were received from the following:

Cllr Pat Patten

Cllr Jacqueline Sweetman  
Keith Ireland

2 **Declarations of interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

3 **Minutes of the previous meeting (12 July 2016)**

Resolved:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 12 July 2016 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

4 **Matters arising**

There were no matters arising.

5 **Scrutiny Board Work Programme 2016-17**

Earl Piggott-Smith, Scrutiny Officer, briefed the Board on current scrutiny work programme. The Board discussed the timing of the next update report on progress of the West Midlands Combined Authority. The Board had agreed to receive quarterly reports and suggested that the Leader and Managing Director be invited to present to a meeting on 1 November 2016. The Board agreed to be advised by Mark Taylor about the timeliness of the report.

Resolved:

1. The Board to receive an update report on 1 November 2016 about the progress of the West Midlands Combined Authority, subject to the discussions about timeliness.
2. The Board noted the progress of the Scrutiny Board work programme 2016-17.

6 **Traveller Protocol - Councillor fact sheet and communications protocol**

Shaun Walker, Section Leader, gave a short introduction to the briefing paper and explained that the Board had requested an update on proposals to respond to the issue of unauthorised encampments. The Section Leader commented on the findings and recommendations of the task and finish group that had been set up to look at the response from different agencies to an incident at Ashmore Park.

The Section Leader commented on improved working relationships with the police since the protocol was agreed. The communications protocol makes clear the circumstances where the Council would expect the police to take the lead in responding to an unauthorised encampment. The protocol has been signed by the former Chief Supt Simon Hyde and Ross Cook Service Director – City Environment, Place.

The Section Leader advised the Board on the work being done to protect known hot spot sites which are targeted by travellers and gypsy community. A report on preventative action to deter encampments has been presented to Cabinet. Cabinet approved the funding of specific works to make these hot spot sites more secure.

The Section Leader commented on the work done to improve the sharing of intelligence between the police and local authorities and the public of possible incidents.

The Section Leader advised that discussions are on-going with other local authorities about alternative sites across the Black Country region that could be used by the gypsy and travelling community.

The Board welcomed the report and the excellent progress made in producing the member guide and protocol. The Board discussed the role of elected members and suggested that the protocol should include them as specific group to be contacted when a large scale incursion is suspected or an event that is likely to attract a large number of travellers.

The Section Leader welcomed the comment and agreed to make the suggested change to the wording in the communication protocol. The Board suggested that the guide and protocol should be included as part of induction or training for all Councillors.

The Board discussed the merits of using social media to keep residents updated about a large scale traveller incursion and the role of members in responding to any queries. The Board discussed the circumstances where social media would be used and how this would be managed. The Board suggested that Wolverhampton Today Facebook site should be used to update the public, rather than social media pages of ward members. The Board suggested that the issue should be discussed further with Ian Fegan, Head of Communications, to suggest how to keep the public informed and responding to media enquiries in response to an incident.

The Section Leader agreed to make the suggested changes to the protocol. An updated draft of the protocol will be sent to the Board.

Resolved:

1. The Board noted the briefing paper and the guide for elected members and welcomed the progress made.
2. The Section Leader agreed to make the suggested changes to the guide and share a final draft with the Board.
3. Ian Fegan, Head of Communication, to advise the Board on using Wolverhampton Today Facebook site to inform the public about how the Council and partners are responding to an issue involving travellers.

## 7 **Information Governance Performance Report Quarter One 2016-17**

Anna Zollino-Biscotti, Information Governance Manager, gave an overview of the achievements and progress for quarter one (April – June 2016). The Information Governance Manager commented on the work done since an audit was completed by the Information Commissioner's Office in October 2011 and July 2012. The Information Governance Manager commented on the action taken in response to a data breach which involved a filing cabinet containing details of service users that was given to a local trader. The incident was reported to the Information Commissioner's Office and they concluded that no further action was required from the Council.

The panel queried what type of response the Council is required to provide when a Freedom of Information (FOI) request is received. The Information Governance Manager explained that the Council is required by legislation to provide a full response within 20 working days for FOI requests and 40 calendar days for Data Protection (subject access) requests.

Resolved

The Board welcomed the report and the achievement in meeting key performance targets.

8 **Annual Corporate complaints report 2015/16**

Steve Rice, Customer Engagement Manager, explained that this was the first combined annual complaint report to Scrutiny Board covering all complaints received by the Council. The Customer Engagement Manager gave a breakdown of complaints and compliments received by Social Care, Public Health and Corporate services. The Customer Engagement Manager commented on the reduction in the number of complaints received.

The Customer Engagement Manager commented on the results of an analysis of annual letters sent to all Councils about complaints received. The results of the analysis showed that City of Wolverhampton Council had the lowest number of complaints upheld against it by the Ombudsman in 2015/16; when compared to neighbouring authorities.

The Board expressed concern regarding the proposal to put in place a twelve month time limit for a person to raise their complaint with the Council from the date of the incident or event concerned. The Board raised concerns regarding cases involving historical abuse. The Customer Engagement Manager explained that there is a separate process for dealing with such cases which will not be affected by the planned change. Members requested information regarding how many complaints would have been affected by this change in previous years. The Customer Engagement Manager agreed to provide this information to the Board.

The Board commented on the need for a robust complaint process and discussed how the number of complaints received should be interpreted as either evidence that people feel confident to use it or an indication of poor service.

The Customer Engagement Manager commented on the work done internally to investigate the types of complaints received and to identify trends where changes in policies and or procedures are need to improve performance.

The Customer Engagement Manager commented on the value to the service of learning from complaints to improve the quality of service provided. The Board discussed the criteria used to decide if an issue raised by a customer is treated as either a complaint or service request, and how this is captured in the information presented in the performance report. The Board commented on the need for greater clarity on how this information should be presented in the future.

The Board discussed the arrangements for monitoring complaints about commissioned services and the work done to ensure providers understand the process for recording complaints and when such complaints should be escalated.

The Customer Engagement Manager commented on the processes for checking contract compliance by external service providers. The Board suggested that this issue should be considered by members of Adult and Safer City Scrutiny Panel. The Customer Engagement Manager agreed to present a report on complaints process to a future meeting and a separate report to Adults and Safer City Scrutiny Panel on work done to monitor contract compliance.

The Board discussed the reasons for the difference at ward level in the number of complaints received by the Council.

Resolved:

1. The Board welcomed the report.
2. The Customer Engagement Manager agreed to undertake research to investigate the impact of 12 month limit on the number of complaints received in previous years and circulate this to the Board.
3. The issue of service providers complaints procedures in relation to contract compliance to be added to the agenda of Adults and Safer City Scrutiny Panel for more detailed work.

#### 9 **Corporate Performance Report (report to follow)**

Helena Kucharczyk, Business Intelligence Manager, gave an overview of the report on the Council performance. The Business Intelligence Manager gave an update on the corporate plans and explained how it links to business plans being developed by different service areas. The Business Intelligence Manager explained how the business plans will contribute to achieving the corporate objectives. In addition, benchmarking work has been done with other local authorities to compare Wolverhampton's approach to developing its corporate plan.

The Business Intelligence Manager commented that an updated city scorecard will be published in May 2017 and would include an improved analysis of performance data. The Business Intelligence Manager explained that work is being done to develop an interactive performance model. The work will be completed by October 2017.

The Board commented on the importance of ensuring that all the individual targets are linked together and support joined up thinking across the Council. The Business Intelligence Manager explained how targets link to expected outcomes and work being done to support this process. The Board discussed the issue of performance data for looked after children and need to consider the impact of other factors on efforts to improve outcomes.

The Board queried the rationale for using employee budget underspend to fund the development of the new performance management IT system. The Business Intelligence Manager explained that funding was provided by vacancies held following previous services restructures.

Resolved:

1. The Board welcomed the report and the noted the progress made.

10

### **Schedule of Petitions**

Colin Parr, Head of Governance, updated the Board on the progress in the arrangements for dealing with public petitions. The Head of Governance commented that a further review of the number of signatures needed before a petition is presented to a panel is being undertaken. A report on the proposals will be presented to a future meeting for the Board to consider.

The Board commented on the impact of adding petition items to the panel work programme. The Head of Governance commented that the changes being considered are intended to reduce the impact on the workload on panels, while offering a more effective way of dealing with public petitions.

Resolved:

The Board noted the report and progress

The meeting closed at 20:00

# Scrutiny Board

8 November 2016

<b>Report title</b>	The Development of Scrutiny	
<b>Cabinet member with lead responsibility</b>	Councillor Milkinderpal Jaspal Governance	
<b>Wards affected</b>	All	
<b>Accountable director</b>	Keith Ireland	
<b>Originating service</b>	Democratic Services	
<b>Accountable employee(s)</b>	Julia Cleary Tel Email	Scrutiny and Systems Manager 01902 555046 julia.cleary@wolverhampton.gov.uk
<b>Report to be/has been considered by</b>	Leaders Briefing	

---

## Recommendation(s) for action or decision:

1. That the existing Scrutiny Board work programme be revised so that each meeting going forward will consider a pre-agreed thematic issue presented by a Cabinet Member.
2. That Scrutiny Officers co-ordinate three Council wide presentation sessions a year in the Council Chamber covering high level cross cutting issues.
3. That a dedicated intranet resource is established for all scrutiny members.
4. That review panels consist of no more than 5-7 members and that the maximum number of reviews per year be increased to 6.

## Recommendations for noting:

The Scrutiny Board is asked to note:

1. The recommendations to be considered by Council on 9 November 2016 in relation to petitions.

## **1.0 Purpose**

To request that the Board consider a set of proposals regarding the development of the Council's Scrutiny function and specifically proposals relating to the future delivery of the Scrutiny Board.

## **2.0 Background**

2.1 A review has recently been carried out by the Head of Governance in relation to Democratic Services and in particular the scrutiny function. The Managing Director provided a clear directive to the Head of Governance to ensure that the scrutiny function was prioritised and resourced sufficiently.

2.2 The review has established two distinct teams within Democratic Services:

- Democratic Services and
- Scrutiny and Systems.

Each team is to be managed by a Service Manager (both Managers have now been appointed). This will provide additional management capacity in the area of Scrutiny and will be the first time in several years that a manager has been dedicated to the function.

2.3 The review has also retained two full time scrutiny officers (although one of these posts has been vacated recently and recruitment is on-going). Following completion of the review the total staff allocation to the delivery of Scrutiny will be 2.5 FTE which represents an increase in this area of 25%.

## **3.0 Scrutiny Board**

3.1 Scrutiny Board currently considers a range of cross cutting issues and routine updates in relation to corporate complaints, performance and information governance. The Board also executes a statutory role in relation to appeals to responses to petitions.

3.2 Scrutiny Board members have expressed concern regarding the lack of engagement from Cabinet Members in relation to issues that are presented to the Board.

3.3 Currently the only papers that are scheduled to be presented to the Scrutiny Board this municipal year that will require the attendance of a member of the Executive are the budget consultation update, presented by the Cabinet Member for Resources and an update on the Combined Authority, to be presented by the Leader.

3.4 It is proposed that the existing Scrutiny Board work programme be revised and that each meeting going forward will consider a pre-agreed thematic issue presented by a Cabinet Member.

3.5 The suggested programme is:

Meeting date	Thematic Agenda Item	Cabinet Member to present
08-Nov-16	Developments to the Delivery of Scrutiny	Cllr Roger Lawrence, Leader
13-Dec-16	Budget Consultation	Cllr Andrew Johnson, Resources
17-Jan-17	West Midlands Combined Authority Update	Cllr Roger Lawrence, Leader
14-Mar-17	WV Active	Cllr Steve Evans, City Environment
25-Apr-17	TBC	TBC

It is recommended that the Work Plan be updated to reflect this.

3.6 It is also recommended that the Director of Governance be the lead officer for Scrutiny Board and that meetings will always be attended by the Director of Governance, Finance Director or Managing Director.

#### 4.0 Wider Proposals for the Development of Scrutiny

4.1 It is recommended that scrutiny officers co-ordinate three Council wide presentation sessions a year in the council chamber which will cover high level cross cutting issues.

Questions must be submitted prior to the sessions and each session will focus on a specific directorate. All Councillors will be invited to attend these sessions along with the Wider Leadership Team.

#### Dedicated scrutiny intranet resource

4.2 To enable greater engagement with the scrutiny process it is recommended that a dedicated intranet resource is established for all scrutiny members. The facility will be managed and maintained by the Scrutiny and Systems Manager and will consist of the following resources:

- Details regarding the role and membership of the Scrutiny Board and each panel, historic papers and current work programmes
- Access to all previous Scrutiny Reviews (with real time updating of the status of recommendations)
- Access to annual scrutiny reports
- Facilities for members to raise queries and request information on current areas of work
- Various research facilities and links to best practice scrutiny work from other areas

### **Future format of scrutiny reviews**

- 4.3 It is recommended that smaller review panels of no more than 5-7 members would potentially allow for more detailed scrutiny of specific issues and provide more focused recommendations back to Cabinet Members. This would also enable Scrutiny Officers to increase the total number of reviews completed each year from three to six.

### **Petitions**

- 4.4 Scrutiny Board have expressed concern regarding the volume of petitions that are anticipated for particular scrutiny panels.
- 4.5 Other concerns have also been raised by Members and previously discussed by the Board:
- How meetings where petitions are heard should be managed,
  - Training in relation to declarations of interest,
  - Whether sub-committees should be established,
  - What influence the panels can have in relation to a petition
  - Managing the expectations of petitioners invited to a panel.

Proposals in relation to petitions were considered by the Special Advisory Group on 21 October 2016 and the following recommendations will be presented to Council on 9 November:

*a. Petitions with fewer than 2,499 signatures be considered and responded to by employees, within 28 days of receipt by the relevant service area. A summary of responses will be reported to Scrutiny Board, the relevant Cabinet Member(s) and, where appropriate, the relevant Ward Members.*

*b. Petitions with 2,500-4,999 signatures be considered by the relevant scrutiny panel with recommendations made for action by employees or review by the Executive as appropriate.*

*c. Petitions with 5,000+ signatures be considered by the Council as per the existing arrangements.*

The recommendations will potentially remove the need for up to 95% of petitions to go before scrutiny panels.

### **4.6 Scrutiny Performance Framework**

There will be a suite of performance measures established for the scrutiny function and these will be monitored through regular updates to the Scrutiny Board. These draft performance measures will be presented to a future Scrutiny Board meeting for agreement. Possible measures include:

- Monitoring the implementation of scrutiny recommendations;
- Scrutiny recommendations arranged by portfolio and scrutiny area with links to corporate outcomes ;
- Officer responses to requests for information from scrutiny members;
- The impact of scrutiny recommendations on service delivery;
- Recommendations made to Cabinet as a direct result of pre decision scrutiny.

## **5.0 Financial implications**

5.1 There are no financial implications associated with the recommendations in this this report. [GE/28102016/H]

## **6.0 Legal implications**

6.1 There are no legal implications associated with the recommendations in this this report. [TS/01112016/T]

## **7.0 Equalities implications**

7.1 There are no equality implications associated with the recommendations in this report but there will be equality implications in relation to all scrutiny reviews that are carried out.

Officers will assess each review in a timely manner utilising the equalities toolkit when appropriate to ensure that all equalities matters are addressed in an appropriate and proportionate way and listed in all reports presented to members.

## **8.0 Environmental implications**

8.1 There are no environmental implications associated with the recommendations in this report.

## **9.0 Human resources implications**

9.1 There are no human resources implications associated with the recommendations in this report

## **10.0 Corporate landlord implications**

10.1 There are no corporate landlord implications associated with the recommendations in this report

This page is intentionally left blank

# Scrutiny Board

8 November 2016

<b>Report title</b>	Scrutiny Board Work Programme 2016-17	
<b>Cabinet member with lead responsibility</b>	Councillor Milkinderpal Jaspal Governance	
<b>Wards affected</b>	All	
<b>Accountable director</b>	Kevin O'Keefe, Governance	
<b>Originating service</b>	Democracy	
<b>Accountable employee(s)</b>	Julia Cleary	Scrutiny and Systems Officer
	Tel	01902 555046
	Email	Julia.cleary@wolverhampton.gov.uk
<b>Report to be/has been considered by</b>	Scrutiny Board	12 July 2016 13 September 2016

---

## Recommendation(s) for action or decision:

The Scrutiny Board is recommended to:

1. Review progress of the Scrutiny Board work programme 2016-17 to take account of emerging issues and councillor suggestions.
2. Approve any additional items for the Scutiny Board work programme 2016/17.

## **1.0 Purpose**

1.1 To update and agree the Scrutiny Board work programme for 2016-17.

## **2.0 Background**

2.1 The remit of the Scrutiny Board was agreed by Annual Council 20 May 2015. This remit included the specific responsibility to oversee the operation of the call-in mechanisms and to oversee the work programmes of Scrutiny Panels to avoid duplication of work and to ensure coherence of approach to cross-cutting policy themes. The Board may determine that one named Panel shall take lead responsibility for a cross-cutting policy theme or may determine that the work be shared between one or more panels.

## **3.0 Work programme planning**

3.1 The work programme is a working document which is reviewed at each agenda planning meeting to determine the timeliness and relevance of items for scrutiny. Any member can also ask for an item to be considered by scrutiny. At each Scrutiny Board an updated work programme will be presented for discussion and agreement. Appendix 1.

## **4.0 Financial implications**

4.1 There are no financial implications arising from the recommendations in this report. Within Governance, there is a scrutiny budget to support the investigation of issues highlighted by councillors through the work programmes of the panels and the reviews and inquiries. [GE/01112016/L]

## **5.0 Legal implications**

5.1 There are no legal implications arising from this report. [Legal Code: TS/01112016/S]

## **6.0 Equalities implications**

6.1 There are no equalities implications arising from this report.

## **7.0 Environmental implications**

7.1 There are no direct environmental implications arising from this report.

## **8.0 Human resources implications**

8.1 There are no direct human resource implications arising from this report.

## **9.0 Corporate landlord implications**

9.1 There are no direct corporate landlord implications arising from this report.

## **10.0 Schedule of background papers**

- 10.1 Report to Scrutiny Board agreeing the new method of agreeing the scrutiny work programme – 15 April 2014.

## Appendix 1: Scrutiny Work Programme

<b>Scrutiny Board</b>	
08.11.2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Future Development of Scrutiny</li> <li>• Post-16 update - Kate Howard</li> </ul>
13.12.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Budget 2017/18 consultation</li> <li>• Information Governance Performance Report Quarter Two 2016-17</li> <li>• Scrutiny Review Updates:</li> <li>• City of Wolverhampton Council Volunteering Offer</li> <li>• Electoral Registration and Participation</li> <li>• CSE Review</li> </ul>
17.1.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• West Midlands Combined Authority – update</li> </ul>
14.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WV Active</li> </ul>
25.4.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the corporate consultation process</li> <li>• Monitoring of services previously commissioned</li> <li>• To review the tendering of mental health preventative services</li> </ul>

<b>Adults and Safer City</b>	
5.12.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Budget 2017/18 consultation</li> </ul>
30.1.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wolverhampton Annual Safeguarding Adults Report</li> <li>• Discharge planning. To consider support when discharged from specialist care or hospital and explore coping mechanisms.</li> <li>• How do the most vulnerable manage and how we monitor their care at home.</li> <li>• To consider safety, homelessness and housing issues for people recovering from addictions.</li> <li>• To consider the Suicide Strategy for the City.</li> </ul>
27.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update on the Dementia City.</li> <li>• Connections between specialist services and localised services.</li> <li>• Crime Reduction and Community Safety Annual report</li> </ul>

<b>Children, young people and families</b>	
7.12.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Budget 2017/18 consultation</li> </ul>
8.2.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pupil Referral Unit</li> <li>• Pupil Premium</li> <li>• Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND)</li> </ul>
29.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emotional resilience and preventing self-harm</li> </ul>

<b>Confident Capable Council</b>	
16.11.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Budget 2017/18 consultation</li> <li>• Specific Reserves Working Group</li> </ul>
11.1.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The role of the youth council</li> <li>• Developing Staff Skills</li> <li>• Future Works IT infrastructure</li> </ul>
15.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maximising opportunities through social media</li> </ul>

<b>Health Scrutiny</b>	
24.11.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Budget 2017/18 consultation</li> <li>• Update on the Adult Mental Health Strategy (Members from Adults and Safer City Panel to be invited)</li> <li>• Update on vertical Integration</li> <li>• 100,000 Genome Project – Presentation</li> <li>• Cystic Fibrosis Services within the West Midlands – Presentation</li> <li>• Cross Boundary Concerns (David Laughton – tbc)</li> </ul>
12.1.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understanding issues arising from the use and control of New Psychoactive Substances (NPSs)</li> <li>• Governance Review (RWHT)</li> </ul>
2.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Arwyn Jones – Chief Executive, Beacon Centre for the Blind (tbc)</li> <li>• Open Spaces</li> <li>• Access to GP Surgeries/A&amp;E/Urgent Care</li> <li>• Closures of Pharmacies</li> </ul>
27.4.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Smoking Policy</li> <li>• Dental Care and Oral Health Needs and inequalities</li> <li>• Cleaning at the nre hospital – monitor standards though the CCG complaints procedure.</li> </ul>

- Possibility of setting up a joint committee to consider bed blocking with Adults and Safer City Scrutiny.

<b>Stronger City Economy</b>	
22.11.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Budget 2017/18 consultation</li> <li>• Training Update for new Members</li> <li>• Implications of Brexit (standard ongoing item)</li> <li>• Verbal update on Visit to Custard Factory (KJ)</li> <li>• Cultural and Visitor Economy Strategy</li> <li>• Update on Visitor Information and Footfall</li> <li>• Wolverhampton Workbox Update (Invite CYP Members)</li> </ul>
24.1.17	<p>Skills and Training</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wolverhampton skills and employment action plan - update</li> <li>• High level skills and retaining skills - monitoring and progress</li> <li>• Area review for Further Education skills</li> <li>• Engaging businesses to take up training and skills opportunities</li> <li>• Library Transformation - Pre-decision scrutiny</li> </ul>
21.3.17	<p>Enterprise and Business</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sprint technology and the SMART City agenda</li> <li>• Regeneration programmes</li> <li>• Enterprise and Business – growth and creative zones</li> </ul>

<b>Vibrant and Sustainable City</b>	
1.12.16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Budget 2017/18 consultation</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Wreley and Essington Canal – local nature reserve</li><li>• Waste Management Strategy</li><li>• Housing Services Review</li></ul>
2.2.17	<b>Public Realm</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Managing derelict sites</li><li>• Localised environmental improvements strategy</li><li>• Update on enforcement work – Kingdom Security invite an update on the city centre cleanliness score, an overview of the street cleansing team work.</li><li>• Update on market services – briefing paper</li></ul>
23.3.17	<b>Housing Theme</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Rent with confidence - update</li><li>• Housing Services Review</li><li>• Update on Housing Company</li></ul>

**Scrutiny Cross Cutting Reviews:**

(October 16 – March 17, initially four meetings per review)

1. The City's apprenticeship offer
2. Adult mental health commissioning
3. Review of skills base in the authority